

FORM PTO-1390 (REV. 11-2000)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER MPD317
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371				U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) 70/018819
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/GB00/02447	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 22 June 2000	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 24 June 1999		
TITLE OF INVENTION STRUCTURED SURFACTANT SYSTEMS				
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US HAWKINS, John; HATCHMAN, Kevan; CLAPPERTON, Richard Malcolm				
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:				
<p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (21) indicated below.</p> <p>4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31).</p> <p>5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau). <input type="checkbox"/> has been communicated by the International Bureau. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). <p>6. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto. <input type="checkbox"/> has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). <p>7. <input type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau). <input type="checkbox"/> have been communicated by the International Bureau. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made. <p>8. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).</p> <p>9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).</p> <p>10. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the annexes of the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).</p>				
Items 11 to 20 below concern document(s) or information included:				
<p>11. <input type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.</p> <p>12. <input type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.</p> <p>13. <input type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment.</p> <p>14. <input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.</p> <p>15. <input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification.</p> <p>16. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.</p> <p>17. <input type="checkbox"/> A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821 - 1.825.</p> <p>18. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).</p> <p>19. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).</p> <p>20. <input type="checkbox"/> Other items or information:</p>				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL				
Express Mail Label No. EL151304896US				

page 1 of 2 I hereby certify that the application/correspondence attached hereto is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date (1 January 2001) indicated below and is addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231


Martha Victory
Martha Victory

12/18/01
Date of Deposit

US

Annex US.II, page 2

PCT Applicant's Guide – Volume II – National Chapter – US

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (Section 1.491(e) CFR 1.6)	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/GB00/02447	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER MPD317		
<p>21. <input type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:</p> <p>BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)):</p> <p>Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO \$1000.00</p> <p>International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO \$860.00</p> <p>International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO \$710.00</p> <p>International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$690.00</p> <p>International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$100.00</p>		CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY		
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =		\$ 890.00		
<p>Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).</p>		\$		
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	\$
Total claims	- 20 =	0	x \$18.00	\$
Independent claims	- 3 =	0	x \$80.00	\$
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)		+ \$270.00		
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =		\$ 890.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.		+ \$		
SUBTOTAL =		\$ 890.00		
<p>Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).</p>		\$		
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =		\$		
<p>Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property</p>		+ \$		
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =		\$		
		Amount to be refunded:		\$
		charged:		\$
<p>a. <input type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees is enclosed.</p> <p>b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. <u>08-3442</u> in the amount of \$ <u>890.00</u> to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.</p> <p>c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>08-3442</u>. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.</p> <p>d. <input type="checkbox"/> Fees are to be charged to a credit card. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.</p>				
<p>NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137 (a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.</p>				
<p>SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:</p> <p>Mr. Russ Stolle Huntsman Corporation Legal Department P. O. Box 15730 Austin, TX 78761</p>				
<p>SIGNATURE  Christopher J. Whewell</p>				
<p>NAME 37,469</p>				
<p>REGISTRATION NUMBER</p>				

STRUCTURED SURFACTANT SYSTEMS

The present invention relates to the formulation of structured surfactant suspending systems. It is particularly relevant to the formulation of laundry detergents especially those used for industrial and institutional cleaning.

STRUCTURED SURFACTANT

Suspending solids in liquids presents a problem. If the solids differ in density from the liquid they will tend either to sediment or float. Increasing the viscosity of the liquid can retard, but not prevent such separation, and high viscosities are generally undesirable. Colloidal systems, in which the suspended particles are sufficiently small to experience Brownian motion, e.g. less than 1 micron, may be kinetically stable. However the difficulty or undesirability of comminuting some solids to such sizes, and the impossibility of maintaining many of them at this level in the face of crystal growth or agglomeration, limits the use of colloidal suspensions.

Adjusting the density of one phase to match that of the other is usually impracticable. Moreover such systems are almost always temperature-unstable due to differential rates of thermal expansion.

One method of suspension which permits even relatively large particles to be stably suspended is structured surfactant. The term covers systems in which a surfactant mesophase, usually a lamellar or G-phase, alone or more usually interspersed with an aqueous phase, provides a yield stress which is sufficient, when the system is at rest, to immobilise any suspended particles, but which is sufficiently low to allow the system to be poured like a normal liquid. Such systems may display very low apparent viscosities when stirred, pumped or poured and yet be capable of maintaining particles, sometimes of millimetre or larger size, indefinitely in suspension.

Three main types of suspending system have been employed in practice, all involving a G-phase, in which bilayers of surfactant are arranged with the hydrophobic part of the molecule on the interior and the hydrophilic part on the exterior of the bilayer (or vice versa). The bilayers lie side by side, e.g. in a parallel or concentric configuration, sometimes separated by aqueous layers. G-phases (also known as $L\alpha$ phases) can usually be identified by their characteristic textures under the polarising microscope and/or by x-ray diffraction, which is often able to detect evidence of lamellar symmetry. Such evidence may comprise first, second and sometimes third order peaks with d-spacing ($\frac{2\pi}{Q}$ where Q is the momentum transfer vector) in a simple integral ratio 1:2:3. Other types of symmetry give different ratios, usually non integral.

Most surfactants form a G-phase either at ambient or at some higher temperature when mixed with water in certain specific proportions. However such G-phases cannot usually be used as structured suspending systems. Useful quantities of solid render them unpourable and smaller amounts tend to sediment.

The main types of structured system used in practice are based on dispersed lamellar, spherulitic and expanded lamellar phases. Dispersed lamellar phases are two phase systems in which the surfactant bilayers are arranged as parallel plates to form domains of G-phases which are interspersed with an aqueous phase to form an opaque gel-like system. They are described in EP O 086 614.

Spherulitic phases comprise well defined spheroidal bodies, usually referred to in the art as spherulites, in which surfactant bilayers are arranged as concentric shells. The spherulites usually have a diameter in the range 0.1 to 15 microns and are dispersed in an aqueous phase in the manner of a classical emulsion, but interacting to form a structured system. Spherulitic systems are described in more detail in EP O 151 884.

Many structured surfactant systems are intermediate between dispersed lamellar and spherulitic, involving both types of structure. Usually systems having a more spherulitic character are preferred because they tend to have lower viscosity. A variant on the spherulitic system comprises prolate or rod shaped bodies sometimes referred to as batonets.

A third type of structured surfactant system comprises an expanded G-phase. It differs from the other two types of structure system in being essentially a single phase, and from conventional G-phase in having a wider d-spacing. Conventional G-phases have a d-spacing of about 5 to 7 nanometers. Attempts to suspend solids in such phases results in stiff pastes which are either non-pourable, unstable or both. Expanded G-phases with d-spacing between 8 and 20, e.g. 10 to 15 nanometers, form when the electrolyte is added to aqueous surfactants at concentrations just below those required to form a normal G-phase, particularly to surfactants in the M phase. The M phase comprises surfactant molecules arranged to form cylindrical rods of indefinite length. It exhibits hexagonal symmetry and a distinctive texture under the polarising microscope. Typical M phases have so high a viscosity that they appear to be curdy solids. M phases near the lower concentration limit (the L₁/M phase boundary) may be pourable but have a very high viscosity and often a mucous-like appearance. Such systems tend to form expanded G-phases particularly readily on addition of sufficient electrolyte.

Expanded G-phases are described in more detail in EP O 530 708. In the absence of suspended matter they are translucent, unlike dispersed lamellar or spherulitic phases which are necessarily opaque. They are optically anisotropic and have shear dependent viscosity. In this they differ from L₁ phases which are micellar solutions and which include microemulsions. L₁ phases are clear, optically isotropic and substantially Newtonian. They are unstructured and cannot suspend solids. Some L₁ phases exhibit small angle x-ray diffraction spectra which show evidence of hexagonal symmetry. Such phases usually have concentrations near the L₁/M phase boundary and may form expanded G-phases on addition of electrolyte.

Most structured surfactant systems require the presence of electrolyte as well as surfactant and water in order to form structured systems capable of suspending solids. However certain relatively hydrophobic surfactants such as isopropylamine alkyl benzene sulphonate can form spherulites in water in the absence of electrolyte. Such surfactants are capable of suspending solids in the absence of electrolyte as described in EP O 414 549.

APPLICATION

Structured surfactants have been applied to the problems of suspending: water insoluble or sparingly soluble builders in laundry detergent; antifoams and enzymes in laundry detergents and other surfactant systems; abrasives in hard surface cleaners; pesticides and oils in agrochemical preparations (EP O 388 239 and EP O 498 231); rock cuttings in drilling muds (EP O 430 602); dyestuffs in dyebath concentrates and printing inks (EP O 472 089); talcs, oils and other cosmetic ingredients in personal care formulations (EP O 530 708).

FLOCCULATION

A problem with the two phase structured surfactant systems, and especially spherulitic systems, is flocculation of the dispersed surfactant structures. This tends to occur at high surfactant and/or high electrolyte concentration. It can have the effect of making the composition very viscous and/or unstable with the dispersed surfactant separating from the aqueous phase.

Certain amphiphilic polymers have been found to act as deflocculants of structured surfactants. One type of deflocculant polymer exhibits cteniform (comb-shaped) architecture with a hydrophilic backbone and hydrophobic side chains or vice versa. A typical example is a random copolymer of acrylic acid and a fatty alkyl acrylate. Cteniform deflocculants have been described in a large number of patents, for example WO-A-9106622.

A more effective type of deflocculant has surfactant rather than cteniform architecture. With a hydrophilic polymer group attached at one end to a hydrophobic group. Such deflocculants are typically telomers formed by telomerising a hydrophilic monomer with a telogen having a hydrophobic group. Examples of surfactant deflocculants include alkyl thiol polyacrylates and alkyl polyglycosides. Surfactant deflocculants are described in more details in EP O 623 670.

THE PROBLEM

A disadvantage of both surfactant and cteniform deflocculants is that the concentration required to deflocculate to optimum viscosity is critical within fairly narrow limits and varies with temperature. Either too little or too much deflocculant causes instability and/or excessive viscosity. As a result the deflocculated systems tend to separate if the temperature varies significantly.

One approach to the problem of temperature stability has been to add highly cross linked polyacrylates (see US 5 602 092). These are difficult to disperse in the structured liquid.

Another problem is the high cost of the deflocculants and their tendency to promote foaming, which often requires the addition of antifoams.

A particular problem arises in relation to liquid detergents suitable for use in industrial and institutional laundries, such as factories, hospitals and hotels and especially in automatically dosed washing machines.

An ideal laundry detergent for institutional use would combine: high surfactant levels and in particular high levels of non-ionic surfactant, which has been found particularly effective for removing soil; high alkalinity, to saponify fatty soil; and high levels of builder, which improve the performance of the surfactant by counteracting the effects of calcium in the water. The composition must be

homogeneous and pourable and the concentration as high as possible. Unfortunately it is generally difficult to combine surfactants with electrolytes at high concentrations to form stable compositions. It has proved particularly difficult to achieve this with non-ionic surfactants which are not capable of forming stable solutions at high alkalinity or in the presence of electrolyte, except at very low concentrations which are too low to be commercially acceptable. As a consequence it has hitherto been customary to use two separate solutions in institutional machines, one to supply the surfactant and a separate solution as the source of the alkali.

Attempts to combine the two in a single formulation have hitherto been unsuccessful. Even the use of a deflocculant such as those described in EP-A-0 623 670 or EP-A-0 346 995 has not been successful in forming a sufficiently stable homogeneous phase of commercially acceptable concentration, or has done so only over a very restricted temperature range.

THE SOLUTION

We have now discovered that water soluble carbohydrates are capable of deflocculating dispersed lamellar or spherulitic structured surfactant systems. They are substantially cheaper than known deflocculants and do not cause excessive foaming. They are generally less sensitive to temperature variations.

THE INVENTION

Our invention provides a structured surfactant composition capable of suspending solids which comprises surfactant, water and, if required, electrolyte in relative proportions adapted to form a flocculated, dispersed lamellar and/or spherulitic structured surfactant system, and, in addition, sufficient of a deflocculant to inhibit the flocculation of said system characterised in that said deflocculant comprises a water-soluble carbohydrate.

Preferably said surfactant consists of a major amount of non-ionic surfactant and optionally a minor amount of anionic and/or amphoteric. Said water is preferably present in a proportion of from 20 to 60%, especially 30 to 50%. Said electrolyte preferably comprises alkali. Said deflocculant preferably comprises at least 10% by weight of the composition of a mono- or preferably di-saccharide.

THE AUXILIARY STABILISER

The composition may contain an auxiliary stabiliser to prevent or inhibit temperature instability such as bottom separation or phase changes on warming. The stabiliser may comprise (i) an ethoxylated C₈₋₂₀, straight or branched chain alcohol or fatty acid, fatty amine, sorbitan or glycerol ester, alkyl polypropoxy group or alkyl phenyl group and (ii) a water soluble thiocyanate. The number of ethoxy groups may be from 20 to 100, e.g. 30 to 80, preferably 40 to 60. The mole ratio of (i):(ii) may preferably be from 1:100 to 10:1, e.g. 1:50 to 5:1.

The total concentration of auxiliary stabiliser may typically be from 5 to 150% molar based on the deflocculant, e.g. 10 to 100%. The concentration of (B) in the composition is preferably from 0.1 to 10%, e.g. 0.5 to 5%, especially 0.8% to 2%.

THE CARBOHYDRATE

The term "carbohydrate" as used herein includes mono-, di-, and oligosaccharides, water soluble polysaccharides and also water soluble non-surfactant derivatives of the saccharides such as carboxylic acids, e.g. gluconic, mannic and ascorbic acids and alginates, reduced sugars such as sorbitol, mannitol or inositol and dialdehyde starches.

Preferred deflocculants are sorbitol, alginates, soluble starches and mono and di-saccharides. The alginates are preferably alkali metal or ammonium salts e.g. sodium alginate. They are preferably present in concentrations of from 0.05 to 5%, e.g. 0.1 to 2% especially 0.2 to 1%.

The mono and di-saccharides and derivatives such as sorbitol are usually required in much higher proportions than polysaccharide deflocculants. Generally concentrations of more than 5% by weight of the composition are required, more usually 10 to 20%, especially 12 to 18%.

The di-saccharide is preferably sucrose but may also be, for example, maltose, lactose or cellobiose. Other carbohydrates for use in the invention include glucose, fructose, mannose, ribose, galactose, alldose, talose, gulose, idose, arabinose, xylose, lyxose, erythrose, threose, acrose and rhamnose.

INSTITUTIONAL AND INDUSTRIAL FORMULATIONS

According to a preferred embodiment the invention provides a detergent composition comprising:-

- (A) 20 to 75% by weight of the composition of water;
- (B) At least 3% based on the weight of the composition, preferably 4 to 10%, surfactant comprising more than 50% based on the total weight of surfactant of non-ionic surfactants having a mean HLB of from 10 to 15 and optionally a smaller proportion of anionic and/or amphoteric surfactant;
- (C) At least 10% by weight based on the weight of the composition of builders;
- (D) At least 7% based on the weight of the composition of dissolved non-micelle-forming salts and bases which dissociate at least partially in solution into ions, including any dissolved portion of said builder;
- (E) A total free alkalinity of at least 0.5 normal;

(F) Sufficient of a deflocculant to provide, in conjunction with components A to E above a pourable composition which does not separate after 1 month at 25° C;

Wherein said deflocculant comprises a water soluble carbohydrate.

The amount of water is typically greater than 30%, preferably greater than 40%, especially greater than 50% and usually less than 70% based on the total weight of the composition.

The surfactant is preferably all non-ionic since in some applications inclusion of anionic surfactant can adversely affect performance. However where anionic surfactant can be tolerated its inclusion has the advantage of enabling higher total concentrations of surfactant to be more easily achieved. Typically wholly non-ionic based formulations contain from 7 to 30%, more typically 10 to 25% by weight surfactant while compositions containing a minor proportion of anionic surfactant may contain up to 50% by weight, e.g. 15 to 40%, especially 20 to 35%.

The non-ionic surfactant preferably consists of from 60 to 100% by weight of alkoxylate, preferably ethoxylate or mixed ethoxylate/propoxylate. Typically it comprises alkoxylated C₈₋₂₀, especially C₁₀₋₁₈ natural or synthetic alcohols. The alcohols are typically primary or secondary, straight or branched chain, saturated or unsaturated. Also effective are alkoxylated fatty acids, fatty amines, alkyl phenols, glyceryl mono and dialkyl esters and sorbitan esters.

The ethoxylate typically contains an average of from 1 to 10 alkoxy groups depending on the alkyl chain length, to give an HLB of from 10 to 15, preferably 12 to 14.

The non-ionic surfactant may comprise a mono- or di- ethanolamide or an amine oxide. The surfactant may optionally contain a minor proportion (i.e. less than 50% based on the total weight of surfactant) of anionic surfactant such as soap and/or

alkyl benzene sulphonate. Other anionic surfactants which may be used include alkyl ether sulphates, alkyl sulphates, olefin sulphonates, paraffin sulphonates and alkyl phosphates.

The builder is preferably sodium tripolyphosphate, but may alternatively be or comprise sodium or potassium pyrophosphate, sodium or potassium citrate, sodium or potassium carbonate or a zeolite. Other builders include EDTA, nitrilotriacetate, phosphonates and poly electrolytes such as polyacrylates or polymaleates. The term "builder", as used herein, excludes any hydroxides used to provide the free alkali but includes carbonate and silicate. The builder is present in amounts greater than 10% by weight based on the total weight of the composition, preferably more than 15%. Levels of builder may be above 20%, any excess over the solubility in the system being present as suspended particles. Builder concentrations do not normally exceed 50% by weight and are usually less than 40%, e.g. less than 30%.

The composition contains a total of at least 7% by weight of dissolved surfactant desolubilising salts and bases. This includes any dissolved portion of the builder and any alkali required to provide the free alkalinity.

It excludes micelle forming components such an anionic surfactant. The dissolved salts and bases preferably constitute from 10 to 40%, e.g. 15 to 30% by weight of the composition, and sufficient to form a multiphase system in which an aqueous phase is interspersible with a surfactant or surfactant mesophase.

The total free alkali should be sufficient to neutralise at least an equal volume of 0.5 normal HCl. Preferably the free alkalinity if from 0.7 to 2 normal, e.g. 0.8 to 1.5.

The alkyl polyglycoside is preferably a polyglucoside and typically has an average degree of polymerisation between 1.3 and 10, more usually 1.5 to 3. The alkyl polyglycoside is generally added in an amount sufficient to provide an interspersion of the aqueous phase with the surfactant phase at 25°C, which does not separate within 1 month. This may typically require from 0.5 to 10, more usually 1 to 5%, e.g.

2 to 4.5% by weight based on the weight of the composition. The amount is preferably adjusted to obtain a spherulitic composition comprising surfactant vesicles, usually having a multilamellar or G-phase structure, dispersed in an aqueous phase.

We particularly prefer that compositions of the invention contain an auxiliary stabiliser which helps to extend the range of temperature within which the composition is stable. This may be particularly desirable where storage at relatively high temperatures, e.g. 40°C, is an important consideration. The auxiliary stabiliser may be an ethoxylated alcohol having an average of 20 to 100, more usually 25 to 75, e.g. 30 to 60 ethylene oxide groups per molecule, together with a thiocyanate especially potassium thiocyanate. Alternatively the auxiliary stabiliser may be a polycarboxylate having one or more alkyl groups such as C₈₋₂₀ alkyl thiol polycarboxylate e.g. polyacrylate or polymaleate, or a copolymer of unsaturated carboxylic acid with a C₈₋₂₀ alkyl ester of unsaturated carboxylic acid e.g. a copolymer of acrylic acid and/or maleic acid with a minor proportion of a C₈₋₂₀ alkyl acrylate and/or alkyl maleate ester.

The auxiliary stabiliser may be present in proportions up to 5% by weight, usually 0.01 to 3%, e.g. 0.02 to 2 especially 0.01 to 1. Combinations of two or more auxiliary stabilisers may sometimes be particularly effective.

The detergent compositions of the invention preferably also contain the conventional minor detergent ingredients including antifoams such as silicone antifoam, soil suspending agents such as a carboxymethyl cellulose, optical brighteners, stain removers such as enzymes, bleaches including perborate metaborate mixtures, sequestrants such as phosphonates and especially amino phosphonates including aminotrismethylene phosphonate, ethylene diamine tetrakis (methylene phosphonate), diethylene triamine pentakis (methylene phosphonate) and others in the same series, perfumes, colouring, preservatives, corrosion inhibitors, bleach activators such as TAED and/or fabric conditioner.

The aforesaid minor ingredients may all be present in conventional amounts and usually constitute a total of less than 5% by weight of the composition, typically less than 1%. The anionic component of the ionic ingredients may typically be sodium, potassium or a mixture of the two. Potassium is preferred where very high solids contents are desired.

The invention is illustrated by the following examples in which all proportions are by weight of the 100% material based on the weight of the composition.

EXAMPLE 1

	% wt/wt
Optical brightener "TINOPAL" ® CBS/X	0.05
C ₁₂₋₁₄ alkyl two mole ethoxylate	3.75
C ₁₂₋₁₄ alkyl seven mole ethoxylate	3.75
Potassium thiocyanate	0.5
C ₁₂₋₁₈ fifty mole ethoxylate	0.5
Sodium alginate	0.5
Sodium tripolyphosphate	22.5
Formaldehyde	0.05
Water	balance

The above laundry detergent formulation was stable over extended storage periods at ambient, elevated (40°), low (2°) and cycled temperatures and readily pourable. The washing performance was similar to those obtained using either C₁₂₋₁₄ alkyl thiol polyacrylate or alkyl polyglycoside as the deflocculant however use of either of the two latter resulted in excessive foaming, necessitating the addition of an antifoam. The thiol polyacrylate required slightly higher concentrations, and the polyglycoside much higher concentrations, to achieve equivalent viscosity and stability.

-13-

When the thiocyanate and/or the fifty mole ethoxylate were omitted from the formulation or from either of the comparative formulations, the resulting products were unstable when stored under fluctuating or elevated temperature conditions.

EXAMPLE 2

	% wt/wt
Optical brightener "TINOPAL" ® CBS/X	0.05
C ₁₂₋₁₄ alkyl two mole ethoxylate	3.75
C ₁₂₋₁₄ alkyl seven mole ethoxylate	3.75
Potassium thiocyanate	0.5
C ₁₂₋₁₈ alkyl fifty mole ethoxylate	0.5
Sucrose	15.0
Sodium tripolyphosphate	22.5
Formaldehyde	0.05
Water	balance

The above laundry detergent formulation was stable when stored at ambient, elevated (40°), depressed (2°) and cycled temperatures, was readily pourable and gave washing performance comparable to that of Example 1. Excessive foaming was not observed.

EXAMPLE 3 AND 4

The following laundry detergent compositions are stable, pourable, non -flocculated suspensions.

	<u>Ex 3</u>	<u>Ex 4</u>
C ₁₂₋₁₄ alkyl 6 mole ethoxylate	11.5	11.5
C ₁₂₋₁₅ branched alkyl 3 mole ethoxylate	1.0	1.0
C ₁₂₋₁₄ alkyl polyglucoside (d.p. 1.6)	2.8	2.7
sodium tripolyphosphate	22.0	22.0
sodium hydroxide	7.0	7.0
sodium silicate	1.0	1.0
sorbitol	15.0	2.0
optical brightner "TINOPAL"® cbs/x	0.1	0.1
polyvinyl pyrrolidone	-	0.05
silicone antifoam	0.1	0.1
sodium triethylenetetramine		
pentapethylen phosphonate	0.25	-
Conductivity	15.2mS	31.6mS
Viscosity	4000cp	2000cp
Sisko Index	0.59	0.4

CLAIMS

1. A structured surfactant composition capable of suspending solids which comprises surfactant, water and, if required, electrolyte in relative proportions adapted to form a flocculated, dispersed lamellar and/or spherulitic structured surfactant system, and, in addition, sufficient of a deflocculant to inhibit the flocculation of said system characterised in that said deflocculant comprises a water-soluble carbohydrate.
2. A composition according to claim 1 where said carbohydrate is an alginate present in a proportion of from 0.05% to 5% by weight based on the weight of the composition.
3. A composition according to claim 1 wherein said carbohydrate is a mono or disaccharide or derivative thereof in a proportion of from 10 to 20% by weight based on the weight of the composition.
4. A detergent composition comprising :-
 - (A) 20 to 75% by weight of the composition of water;
 - (B) At least 3% based on the weight of the composition, preferably 4 to 10%, surfactant comprising more than 50% based on the total weight of surfactant of non-ionic surfactants having a mean HLB of from 10 to 15 and optionally a smaller proportion of anionic and/or amphoteric surfactant;
 - (C) At least 10% by weight based on the weight of the composition of builders;
 - (D) At least 7% based on the weight of the composition of dissolved non-micelle-forming salts and bases which dissociate at least partially in solution into ions, including any dissolved portion of said builder;

- (E) A total free alkalinity of at least 0.5 normal;
- (F) Sufficient of a deflocculant to provide, in conjunction with components A to E above a pourable composition which does not separate after 1 month at 25°C;

Wherein said deflocculant comprises a water soluble carbohydrate.

PRINTED IN GERMANY 03 2000

HUNTSMAN

Power of Attorney

I, Peter R. Huntsman, hereby certify that I am an officer of Huntsman International LLC. (the "Corporation"), a corporation, duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Delaware, in the United States of America, with the authority to and hereby grant, on behalf of the Corporation:

Philippus Johannes Leonardus Henricus Baken,
Marnix Karel Christiane Moens,
Edward John Nevard,
Anne Marie Swinnen,
Kevin J. Boland,
Russell Robert Stolle,
Ron David Brown,
Nicole Peffer, and
Christopher Jon Whewell

the power to act in the name of the Corporation, and on behalf of the Corporation, to execute, acknowledge, verify, file, record and cause to be published all notices, agreements, instruments, deeds, certificates, applications, and all other documents that shall be necessary or advisable for the purpose of procuring, transferring, assigning, licensing, or discharging intellectual properties (collectively and separately including patents & patent applications, trademarks & trademark applications, copyrights and copyright applications and know-how, in particular trade secrets) of all types and in all jurisdictions (hereinafter collectively "the Purpose"); and to:

- (i) appoint attorneys or agents in any and all jurisdictions, including other persons within the Corporation, and delegate to or revoke from them the same, or any portion thereof, powers hereinbefore conferred as deemed necessary or advisable for the promotion of the Purpose;
- (ii) make, authorize, and receive payments, on its behalf, which may be deemed necessary or advisable for the promotion of the Purpose; and
- (iii) generally to sign, execute, give and accept all such papers, communications and other items as may be necessary or advisable for the promotion of the Purpose.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Corporation have executed this Certificate and Power to be effective as of January 1st, 2001.

Huntsman International LLC.

By

Date 01 JAN. 2001

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As an undersigned inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and country of citizenship are as stated directly below my name.

I believe (check one) I am the original, first and sole inventor
 I am a joint inventor and the below named inventors are the original and first inventors

of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "**STRUCTURED SURFACTANT SYSTEMS**" (Attorney Docket **MPD317**),

the specification of which

(check one) is attached hereto.

was filed on June 22, 2000
as Application Serial No. PCT/GB00/02447
and was amended on _____

(if applicable)

I further declare that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (hereinafter "the Office") all information known to me to be material to patentability of the subject matter which is claimed as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate indicated below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priority Claimed	
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	No
9914673.0	Great Britain	24 June 1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9925699.2	Great Britain	30 October 1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112,

I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No. _____ Filing Date _____ Status (patented, pending, abandoned) _____

Address all telephone calls to Christopher J. Whewell at telephone number 512 483 0919.

Address all correspondence to:

Russell R. Stolle
HUNTSMAN CORPORATION
P. O. Box 15730
Austin, TX 78761
(512) 483-0170
(512) 483-0933 (Fax)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of first inventor John Hawkins

Inventor's Signature John Hawkins 6-2-2002 Date

Residence 21 Chandler Avenue, Kinver, South Staffordshire DY7 6AG Great Britain CABX

Citizenship British

Post Office Address Same

Full name of second joint inventor, if any Kevan Hatchman

Inventor's Signature Kevan Hatchman 6-2-2002 Date

Residence 5 Byland Close, Friarscroft, Bromsgrove, Worcestershire B61 7PL Great Britain GBR

Citizenship British

Post Office Address Same

300 Full name of third joint inventor, if any Richard Malcolm Clapperton

Inventor's Signature RM Clapperton Date 6-2-2002

Residence 9 Woodhouse Orchard, Belbroughton, Stourbridge, West Midlands DY9 9TQ,
Great Britain GBR

Citizenship British

Post Office Address Same

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any _____

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Residence _____

Citizenship _____

Post Office Address _____